Program Review External Committee Nomination Form

Please use this form to compile a list of at least **five** nominees for external reviewers. The department Associate Vice President, Associate Vice President for Strategy, Impact and Academic Partnerships and Senior Vice President for Student Life will approve the final list of reviewers, with the goal of recruiting three reviewers total per program review. Departments are required to select at least one Associate Vice President (or individual with a similar title or scope of responsibility) with extensive experience outside of the department's immediate functional area. At least one reviewer should be a current employee of a Big 10 institution or at a similar, benchmark institution. The same nominee may fulfill both roles (i.e., AVP and benchmark institution). Departments are encouraged to nominate reviewers from a variety of institutional types and professional backgrounds.

Nominee #1	
Name:	
Title:	
Institution:	
Email:	
What makes this nominee a	
strong candidate for your	
department's program review? Is	
there a particular perspective	
you are hoping this nominee will	
bring to your committee?	
How do you know this nominee?	
Please describe any prior	
professional connections to this	
reviewer in your department	
(e.g., served on a board	
together, previously worked at	
the same institution, etc.).	
Other comments or notes:	
Comments from Department	
AVP (optional):	
Comments from Program	
Review staff:	

	Nominee #2	
Name:		
Title:		
Institution:		
Email:		
What makes this nominee a		
strong candidate for your		
department's program review? Is		
there a particular perspective		
you are hoping this nominee will		
bring to your committee?		
How do you know this nominee?		
Please describe any prior		
professional connections to this reviewer in your department		
(e.g., served on a board		
together, previously worked at		
the same institution, etc.).		
Other comments or notes:		
Comments from Department		
AVP (optional):		
Comments from Program		
Review staff:		



Nominee #3	
Name:	
Title:	
Institution:	
Email:	
What makes this nominee a	
strong candidate for your	
department's program review? Is	
there a particular perspective	
you are hoping this nominee will	
bring to your committee?	
How do you know this nominee?	
Please describe any prior	
professional connections to this	
reviewer in your department	
(e.g., served on a board together, previously worked at	
the same institution, etc.).	
Other comments or notes:	
other comments of notes.	
Comments from Department	
AVP (optional):	
Comments from Program	
Review staff:	



Nominee #4	
Name:	
Title:	
Institution:	
Email:	
What makes this nominee a	
strong candidate for your	
department's program review? Is	
there a particular perspective	
you are hoping this nominee will	
bring to your committee?	
How do you know this nominee?	
Please describe any prior professional connections to this	
reviewer in your department	
(e.g., served on a board	
together, previously worked at	
the same institution, etc.).	
Other comments or notes:	
Comments from Department	
AVP (optional):	
Comments from Program Review staff:	
Review Stan.	



Nominee #5	
Name:	
Title:	
Institution:	
Email:	
What makes this nominee a	
strong candidate for your	
department's program review? Is	
there a particular perspective	
you are hoping this nominee will	
bring to your committee?	
How do you know this nominee?	
Please describe any prior professional connections to this	
reviewer in your department	
(e.g., served on a board	
together, previously worked at	
the same institution, etc.).	
Other comments or notes:	
Comments from Department	
AVP (optional):	
Comments from Program	
Review staff:	



Nominee #6 (optional)	
Name:	
Title:	
Institution:	
Email:	
What makes this nominee a	
strong candidate for your	
department's program review? Is	
there a particular perspective	
you are hoping this nominee will	
bring to your committee?	
How do you know this nominee?	
Please describe any prior professional connections to this	
reviewer in your department	
(e.g., served on a board	
together, previously worked at	
the same institution, etc.).	
Other comments or notes:	
Comments from Department	
AVP (optional):	
Commonto from Program	
Comments from Program Review staff:	
Neview Stan.	



Nominee #7 (optional)	
Name:	
Title:	
Institution:	
Email:	
What makes this nominee a	
strong candidate for your	
department's program review? Is	
there a particular perspective	
you are hoping this nominee will	
bring to your committee?	
How do you know this nominee?	
Please describe any prior	
professional connections to this	
reviewer in your department	
(e.g., served on a board	
together, previously worked at the same institution, etc.).	
Other comments or notes:	
Other comments of hotes.	
Comments from Department	
AVP (optional):	
Comments from Program	
Review staff:	



Nominee #8 (optional)	
Name:	
Title:	
Institution:	
Email:	
What makes this nominee a	
strong candidate for your	
department's program review? Is	
there a particular perspective	
you are hoping this nominee will	
bring to your committee?	
How do you know this nominee?	
Please describe any prior professional connections to this	
reviewer in your department	
(e.g., served on a board	
together, previously worked at	
the same institution, etc.).	
Other comments or notes:	
Comments from Department	
AVP (optional):	
Comments from Drogram	
Comments from Program Review staff:	
Neview Stan.	

